UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,933	06/14/2006	Giuseppe Zattera	82062-0187	4073
24633 7590 07/10/2008 HOGAN & HARTSON LLP			EXAMINER	
	DLUMBIA SQUARE		PATEL, SHEFALI DILIP	
555 THIRTEENTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dcptopatent@hhlaw.com rogruwell@hhlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/560,933	ZATTERA, GIUSEPPE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SHEFALI D. PATEL	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ju</u>	ne 2006 and 28 February 2008				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
ologica in absordance with the practice ander E	x parte quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 18-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15, 18-24</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement				
0/23	mayor oloonom roquiromonic				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form F10-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted:

Group I, claim(s) 1-15, 18-21, and 24, drawn to a catheter.

Group II, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to a method for the use of a catheter.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

A "special technical feature" is a technical feature that defines a contribution that each of the claimed inventions, Groups I and II, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

However, the common technical features between Groups I and II are not "special" because they are all found in the prior art.

The common technical features between Groups I and II are the catheter, a guide cable, a first occluding means, a second occluding means, at least one opening in a lateral wall of the catheter, and a perfused substance. For example, Don Michael (US 5,163,905) teaches a catheter (Figure 1, catheter [2]) with a first occluding means (balloon [12]) and openings (openings [28]) on the lateral wall of the catheter. Don Michael also teaches using a guide cable (guide wire, *not*

Application/Control Number: 10/560,933 Page 3

Art Unit: 3771

referenced) to insert the catheter (column 5, lines 35-38) and delivering a substance to a vessel by way of the catheter (column 3, lines 8-9). Don Michael does not teach inserting a second occluding means into the catheter. Keren et al (US 2002/0169413) teaches a catheter (Figure 16, cannula [311]) with a first occluding means (balloon [316]) and a second occluding means (obturator, *not referenced*) (paragraph [0093]). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert a second occluding means, as taught by Keren et al, into the catheter of Don Michael, as the second occluding means will seal the distal tip opening of the catheter to prevent the flow of the delivered substance from the opening at the distal tip (paragraph [0093]), as the substance is intended to be delivered from the lateral openings and not the distal tip opening.

3. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper

restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHEFALI D. PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 270-3645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am-5pm Eastern time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin C. Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,933 Page 5

Art Unit: 3771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shefali D Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 07/03/2008

/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771